COMPUTING NEEDS TIME EDWARD LEE, UC BERKELEY (2009)

Presented by Ricardo Severino (CISTER/ISEP)

OVERVIEW

- CPS and current status of embedded systems
- Requirements to enable CPS
- Timing and today's computing abstractions
- Interesting aphorisms
- Solutions
- o Final Remarks

CPS – CYBER-PHYSICAL SYSTEMS

• Today uP are embedded in systems such as:

- Cars, industrial robots, toys, games, medical devices, etc.
- Tomorrow, in everyday life objects.
- There is a tight interaction with a physical process by sensing and actuating actions
- "Orchestration of networked computational resources with physical processes".



ANOTHER CPS EXAMPLE (PRINTING PRESS)

• High speed and high precision machine

- Speed (1 inch/ms)
- Ink precision (0.01 inch)
 Time accuracy 10us
- Hundreds of controllers
- Thousands of sensors
- Communications
 - Ethernet (1588 time-sync protocol)
- What if a failure occurs jam)
 - Power down is not na option
- Must have
 - Models of the physical process as a part of the software
 - Precise time control of the network



Bosch-Rexroth

CPS – CYBER-PHYSICAL SYSTEMS

- Today uP are embedded in systems such as:
 - Cars, industrial robots, toys, games, medical devices, etc.
 - Tomorrow, in everyday life objects.
- There is a tight interaction with a physical process by sensing and actuating actions
- "Orchestration of networked computational resources with physical processes".
- They are becoming networked and intelligent, however sometimes at the cost of dependability.
- Increasing lack of confidence in technology.
 What?! Houston, we have a problem!





THE "LOW-FI" ERA

Computers now

- integrate media such as video and audio
- In handheld platforms Sense physical dynamics and control physical devices. "Baah, not works good."

• However, they don't do it very well, do they?

- Video quality we get in the internet can be sometimes worse than television broadcast in the 1950's.
- Audio quality in many telephone connections voice is incomprehensible. First digital telephony systems in the 1960's were better!
- In set-top boxes, designers discard many innovation of the last 30 years of computing (no OS, no high-level programming languages, no memory management, nor abstractions that hide temporal properties on their interfaces. (Time is not irrelevant).

What is wrong with this picture?!

CPS/TRADITIONAL SYSTEMS

Traditional Embedded systems

- Embedded software on small computers
- Concerned about optimizing the use of limited resources.
- o CPS
 - Computation and networking integrated with physical processes
 - Concerned about managing dynamics, time and concurrency.
 - All components are tightly coupled, so they must be jointly designed.

SYSTEMS RELIABILITY (1)

- Embedded systems have been held to a higher reliability standard than general-purpose computing (we do not expect TV to crash and reboot)
 - Computer means higher reliability and efficiency.
 - Design analysis predictability
 - Test under different operating conditions repeatability
- CPS expect even higher reliability (traffic control, automotive safety...)
 - Simplest C program not predictable nor repeatable because
 - "The design does not express aspects of the behavior that are essential to the system"

SYSTEMS RELIABILITY (2)

- Exact match with C semantics and still fails to deliver behavior nedded by the system!
 - Timing deadlines NOT in C semantics

o Failure of abstraction

• A predictable and repeatable component fails in the dimensions that matter.



BUT STILL...

We can move outside C and use OS primitives for I/O

- But then we get non-deterministic behavior
- Must use semaphores, locks, priorities, interprocess communication
 - harder to test and can produce brittle systems.
 - A small change in the design can cause major problems in the system.

THE AIRCRAFT

• Boeing 777 aircraft (fly-by-wire)



A slight change to the harware may affect timing and require re-certification



ABSTRACTIONS...

 "Today's computing and networking technologies unnecessarily impede the progress towards CPS applications."



OK, BUT WHY?!

• Nearly every abstraction has failed!

- The ISA ISA users care about timing properties ISA cannot express. (WCET in modern processors)
- The programming language no widely used programming language expresses timing properties. Timing is an accident of implementation.
- A realtime operating system fails if the timing of the underlying platform is not repeatable or execution times cannot be determined.
- The network most standard networks provide no timing guarantees and fail to provide an appropriate abstraction.

WHERE DOES THIS PROBLEM COMES FROM?

• Is this problem intrinsic to technology?

- Electronics technology delivers highly repeatable and precise timing!
- Yet, overlaying abstractions discard it...
 BY CHOICE!

There is a call for a fundamental change in the core abstractions of computing!







INTERESTING APHORISMS (1)

o "Time is a non-functional property"

- What is the function of a program?
 - Turing-Church: finite composition of functions whose domain and codomain are a set of sequences of bits.
 - CPS: Defined by its effect in the physical world. Here domain and codomain of the function are not sequences of bits.

• Why insisting in a wrong definition of "function"?

- A program is a sequence of input/output events for many system designers (OS, web servers, comm. Protocols).
- Elevate this view to the application programmer and augmented with temporal dynamics.

INTERESTING APHORISMS (2)

o "Real-Time is a quality of service problem"

- Everybody wants quality!
- In general-purpose computing: Execution time = performance.
- In embedded systems: Less time is not better that more time! (e.g. firing engine spark plugs earlier will not make it more performant)
- CPS requires repeatable timing behaviour far more than optimized performance.
- QoS problems are Timing Precision and Variability.

SOLUTIONS (1)

o Computer Architecture

- ISA provides different performance without losing compatibility with existing harware. (1960's – IBM360)
- Today's ISAs hide most temporal properties of underlaying hardware.

o Extend ISA with timing properties.

- "Achieving timing precision is easy if system designers are willing to forgo performance";
 - although cache memories may introduce unacceptable timing variability, cost-effective system design cannot do without memory hierarchy.
 - Challenge: provide memory hierarchy with repeatable timing.
 - Similar challenges apply to pipelining, bus architectures, and I/O mechanisms.

SOLUTIONS (2)

o Programming languages

- Abstraction layer above ISA
- We need to reflect the underlaying temporal properties in the language semantics
- Annotate programs can be a faster solution than creting a new language.
- Another idea is to integrate other domain specific languages with temporal semantics such as Simulink or LabVIEW, into engineering processes.

SOLUTIONS (3)

o Software components

- Data abstraction, object orientation, and component libraries made it easier to design large complex systems.
- Most of these component tech. Do not export temporal properties in the APIs.
 - Could provide na interesting alternative to real-time programming languages.
- New coordenation languages, with components based in (Java/c++) may be more likely to gain acceptance.

SOLUTIONS (4)

o Formal Methods

- User mathematical models to infer and prove properties of systems
- Several approaches that handle temporal dynamics
 - Temporal logics
 - Process algebras
 - Timed-automata
- However, properties not formally specified cannot be formally verified. (e.g. software timing behaviour, is not expressed in software, must be separately specified)
 - Breaks connection with implementation.
- All depends on
 - progress on programming languages
 - Scalability to realistic systems

SOLUTIONS (5)

o Networking

- Timing behaviour is viewed as QoS problem
- designers of time-sensitive applications on generalpurpose networks (such as voice over IP) struggle with inadequate control over network behavior.
- Meanwhile, FlexRay and TTA (time-triggered architecture) emerged to provide timeliness as correctness property.
- Introducing timing into networks as a semantic property rather than a QoS problem will lead to an explosion of new time-sensitive applications

FINAL REMARKS

- To realize the potential of CPS core abstractions of computing must be rethought.
- Semantic models must reflect the properties of interest of the physical processes.
- Timing properties must become a correctness criteria and not a QoS measure.
- Timing in programs and networks must be repeatable and predictable as technologically feasible at reasonable cost.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR INTEREST!



Ricardo Severino <rars@isep.ipp.pt>